Doc Code: AP.PRE.R

*Total of _

forms are submitted.

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act or 1945 to persons are required to respond to a		Docket Number (Optional)		
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		1		
		CISCO-3840		
•				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application Number		Filed	
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail	09/835,	164	4/13/2001	
in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]				
<u> </u>				
on	First Named Inventor			
KANAN A DOTA	Shujin Zhang			
Signature 1900 Communication Signature	-			
V	Art Unit	E	caminer	
Typed or printed Karen A. Rogers	2181		Patel, Niketa I.	
nameRatem A. Rogers	2101		rater, Niketa 1.	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed				
with this request.				
·				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
This request is being med with a house of appeal.				
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).				
Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
•		1.	4	
I am the				
	,	11/1///	101	
applicant/inventor.	-	Si	gnature	
assignee of record of the entire interest.	м	Mara C Hariah		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.	Marc S. Hanish			
(Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name			
X attorney or agent of record.				
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 42,626	(408) 292–5800			
		Telephone number		
		26		
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	December 27, 2005			
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	Date			
- -				
NOTE Of the formation of the second of the s				
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.				
Submit multiple forms if more trial one signature is required, see below.				

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Attachment to Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiners formally review the legal and factual basis of the rejections in the above referenced application in view of the remarks below:

- 1. Claims 53-57, 60-64, 67-71, 74-78, 81-85, 88-92 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, pages 13-16.
- 2. Claims 58, 56, 72, 79, 86, and 93 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, page 16.
- 3. Claims 59, 66, 73, 80, 87, and 94 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Beser, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,102 and further in view of Michael Patrick, "DHCP Relay Agent Information Option" Motorola ISG, July 30, 1997. Applicant feels this rejection of record is clearly not proper and is without basis. See Amendment and Response to Office Action of June 13, 2005, dated August 15, 2005, page 16.